Uitnodiging tweede studiebijeenkomst DfC/ECPAT

Wanneer: Woensdag 18 november, 13.00-14.00, vergaderzaal.

Tekst: Hart, R. A. "Stepping back from 'The ladder': Reflections on a Model of Participatory Work with Children". In: A. Reid et al. (2008) *Participation and Learning: Perspectives on Education and the Environment, Health and Sustainability.* Rotterdam: Springer.

Introduction

Child participation is a popular subject in both children's rights research and policy. To realize the right to participation for children, a conceptual tool that is often used is the "participation ladder" as developed by Hart in 1992.¹ The ladder distinguishes several levels of participation, ranging from manipulation (1) and decoration (2, such as 'children singing at adult conferences') to child-initiated and directed (7) and child-initiated, shared decisions with adults (8). There is some discussion on the highest levels; some argue that independent, child-initiated and directed projects (including research) hold a higher level of participation than when children cooperate with adults.²

Hart himself discussed the participation ladder again in 2008. In this paper, he argued that the first article was written in a time where there was 'little written of a conceptual nature on the theme of children's participation in their programmes, projects, or organisations. The book was simply meant to stimulate a dialogue on a theme that needed to be addressed critically. But many people have chosen to use the ladder as a comprehensive tool for measuring their work with children rather than as a jumping-off point for their own reflections'. Hart points out that 'a child may not want at all times to be the one who initiates a project'. However, he argues that 'they ought to know that they have the option, and to feel that they have the confidence and competence to do so on occasion'. He further emphasizes that he never wanted to 'argue naïvely that we should think of children as repressed individuals who [need] to be liberated through a series of steps whereby all adult engagement [has been] removed'. Instead, '[w]hat is now needed are programmes of collaboration between academics and those who work directly with children as well as with children and youth themselves'.

Mogelijke discussiepunten

- Bestaat er een ladder-model voor kindparticipatie? Is meer participatie beter dan minder (p. 23-25)?
- Hoe verhoudt de tekst van Hart zich tot de visie van Jenks, specifiek met betrekking tot het idee van "liberation from adults as the end goal" (p. 24)?
- Is het idee van kindparticipatie cultureel geladen (p. 26-28)?
- Wat is de rol van kindparticipatie bij DfC en/of hoe zou deze moeten zijn? Zijn de suggesties van Hart voor vooruitgang (p. 29)?

¹ As discussed in Priscilla Alderson, 'Research by children' (2001) 4 International journal of social research methodology 139-53 91-92.

² Ibid 92-93.

³ Roger A. Hart, 'Stepping Back form 'The Ladder': Reflections on a Model of Participatory Work with Children' in Alan Reid and others (eds), *Participation and Learning: Perspectives on Education and the Environment, Health and Sustainability* (Participation and Learning: Perspectives on Education and the Environment, Health and Sustainability, Springer 2008) 19.

⁴ Ibid 24.

⁵ Ibid 24.

⁶ Ibid 29.

- Hebben we een systeem om te monitoren/evalueren hoe we met kinderen werken bij DfC? Zo ja; hoe staat deze in verhouding tot wat Hart schrijft en kunnen we evt. dingen verbeteren? Zo niet: zou dat er moeten zijn, en zo ja hoe en wat?

Bibliography

Alderson P, 'Research by children' (2001) 4 International journal of social research methodology 139-53

Hart RA, 'Stepping Back form 'The Ladder': Reflections on a Model of Participatory Work with Children' in Reid A and others (eds), *Participation and Learning: Perspectives on Education and the Environment, Health and Sustainability* (Participation and Learning: Perspectives on Education and the Environment, Health and Sustainability, Springer 2008)